P. ENT COOPERATION TREA!

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.2)

		-	_		_			
						_	_	
Tο								

United States Patent and Trademark Office (Box PCT) Crystal Plaza 2 Washington, DC 20231 ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE

in its capacity as elected Office

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 24 February 1999 (24.02.99)

International application No. PCT/GB98/01893 International filing date (day/month/year)

29 June 1998 (29.06.98)

Applicant

Applicant's or agent's file reference SMK/CP5710306 Priority date (day/month/year) 27 June 1997 (27.06.97)

ARCHER, John, Anthony, Charles et al

1.	The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:
	X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:
	18 January 1999 (18.01.99)
	in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:
2.	The election X was
	was not
	made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).

Authorized officer

Form PCT/IB/331 (July 1992)

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

H. Zhou



REC'D 1 5 OCT 1999

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT



(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER ACTION		ation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
SMK/CP5					
International			International filing date (day/mor	ntπ/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 27/06/1997
PCT/GB98/01893			29/06/1998	<u> </u>	27/06/1997
International C12Q1/68		t Classification (IPC) or na	tional classification and IPC		
Applicant CAMBRID	OGE (JNIVERSITY TECHN	IICAL SERVICESet al.		
1. This in and is	nterna trans	tional preliminary exam mitted to the applicant a	ination report has been prepa according to Article 36.	red by this Inte	ernational Preliminary Examining Authorit
2. This R	REPO	RT consists of a total of	9 sheets, including this cover	sheet.	
be (s	een ar ee Ru	nended and are the bas	sis for this report and/or sheet 07 of the Administrative Instru	s containing re	on, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority he PCT).
3. This r	eport ⊠	contains indications rela	ating to the following items:		
II Priority					
Ш	\boxtimes	Non-establishment of o	opinion with regard to novelty,	inventive step	and industrial applicability
IV		Lack of unity of inventi			P - 1 92
V	⊠	Reasoned statement u citations and explanati	inder Article 35(2) with regard ons suporting such statement	to novelty, inv	rentive step or industrial applicability;
VI Certain documents cited					
VII			nternational application		
VIII	Z.	Certain observations of	n the international application		
Date of sub	omissic	n of the demand	Date	of completion of	of this report
18/01/19	99				13. 10. 99
	exami	g address of the internation ning authority:	al Aut	orized officer	State SORS MIZE
<u></u>	D-80 Tel.	pean Patent Office 0298 Munich +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52365		zatto, E	(II 9))
	Eav.	±40 80 2300 = 4465	1	-b No . 40 !	2000 2160

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB98/01893

I. Basis of the report

••						
1.	This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):					
	Des	cription, pages:				
	2-21	1,23-42	as originally filed			
	1,1t	,22	with telefax of	24/08/1999		
	Clai	ims, No.:				
	1-45	5	with telefax of	24/08/1999		
	Dra	wings, sheets:				
	1/16	S-16/16	as originally filed			
2.	The	amendments have	e resulted in the cancella	tion of:		
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			
3.			een established as if (son beyond the disclosure as	ne of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been silled (Rule 70.2(c)):		
4.	Add	ditional observation	s, if necessary:			
III	. Noi	n-establishment o	f opinion with regard to	o novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
			e claimed invention appe able have not been exar	ears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), mined in respect of:		
		the entire internat	ional application.			
	×	claims Nos. 11,27	7,28,34.			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/GB98/01893

becaus	se:
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (<i>specify</i>):
⊠	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 11,27,28,34 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): see separate sheet
П	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion
	could be formed.
	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: No:

Claims 1-10,12-24,33,37,40-45

Inventive step (IS)

Yes:

Claims 5,7,37,40-43

No:

Claims 25,26,29-32,35,36,38,39

Claims 1-4,6,8-10,12-26,29-33,35,36,38,39,44,45

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes:

Claims 1-45

No:

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

PART III

No examination of the subject-matter of claims 11, 27, 28 and 34 as to novelty and inventive step was carried out for the reasons given in part V and VIII hereinbelow.

PART V

- 1) The subject-matter of claims 25, 26, 29-32, 35-39 lacks novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- 1.1) Li et al. (J. Bacteriol., 6409-18,1996) (D1) disclose the Rhodococcus dsz promoter and regulatory sequences associated therewith. The promoter was cloned and directed the expression of a reporter gene in a Rhodococcus strain. Mutagenesis studies were also carried out (see abstract, "materials and methods", table 1 and discussion). In view of the fact that:
 - a) a product is not rendered novel by the method by which it can be obtained but only by its intrinsic characteristics and that
 - b) the promoter disclosed in D1 appears to have the same characteristic as a promoter which could be obtained by the method to which claim 1 relates,

The term "biosensor" does not limit the scope of the claim to transformed microorganisms actually **used** as biosensors but it only relates to transformed microorganisms **suitable** for use as biosensors, such as those disclosed in D1. As to the feature of claim 1 concerning lack of catabolic repression, it would appear that the mutants described in D1 (p. 6411, col. 2, l. 34-p. 6412, l. 4) lack catabolic repression and hence fully fall within the scope of claims 25, 26, 29-32, 35-39, which thus lack novelty (Art 33(2) PCT).

Moreover, it appears that the fact that a strain lacks catabolic repression is not necessarily linked to the sequence of the isolated promoter/operon proteins. From D1 it appears clearly that mutations within the promoter sequence can lead to the loss of catabolic repression; however, D1 also discloses mutations lying outside the promoter sequence (in a non coding region) which cause loss of catabolic repression (abstract); it also appears (see the description, p. 9, l. 16-32) that other mutations could cause such a loss, e.g. a mutation in a sigma factor. Hence, the conclusion to be drawn is that the absence of catabolic repression in a strain certainly characterises the strain, but does not however necessarily characterise the **isolated** DNA encoding an inducible promoter. For this reason, the following

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

documents take away novelty from some of the aforecited claims:

- 1.2) EP-A-759474 (D2) discloses promoters and genetic constructs which appear to anticipate the subject-matter of claims 26 (see p. 2, I. 34-53 and ex. 1).
- 1.3) Denome et al. (Appl. Environm. Microbiol., 2837-43, 1993) (D3), cited in view of the Guidelines, C-VI, 7.24, teaches Rhodococcus nucleic acid sequences which are capable of site-specific integration and confer the DBT desulfurisation phenotype (see the abstract). It thus anticipates the subject-matter of claims 26, 35-39.
- In view of the foregoing, it has to be assumed that claim 1 relates to sequences 2) isolated from strains lacking catabolic repression. The said sequences as such, however, are not so characterised. Hence, the subject-matter of claims 1-4, 6, 8-24, 33, 44 and 45 lacks an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 2.1) D4 (Omori et al., Appl. Environm. Microbiol., 911-15, 1992)(Guid., C-VI, 7.24) discloses a method for the isolation of a Corynebaterium strain (which was later identified as belonging to the Rhodococcus genus, see D4a, Omori et al., Biosci. Biotech. Biochem., 59(7), 1195-98, 95) which specifically metabolises DBT (see the abstract and the first two paragraphs of "Materials and Methods"). Starting form D4, the technical problem underlying the present application can be seen in the identification and isolation of the DNA which is responsible for the substrate specificity.

Such a procedural step is well established in the art, as shown e.g. by D1, which characterises the genes of the previously isolated Rhodoccoccus strain IGTS8 (see passages cited hereinabove) or WO-A-9215687 (D5), which teaches the same method as applied to other microorganisms: the microorganisms are then used as biosensors (see p. 19, l. 26-p. 20, l. 12, claims).

Hence the subject-matter of claims 1-4 lacks an inventive step.

- 2.2) The subject-matter of claims 8-10, 12-24 and 33 does not appear to involve an inventive step since it forms part of the general knowledge of the skilled person.
- As to claim 8, in view of the fact that the use of e.g. Tween (which is the detergent a)

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

whose use is exemplified in the description) is current practice in the art, an inventive step could only have been acknowledged if the alleged advantages of its use had been supported by e.g. comparative examples.

- The Applicant submitted data which could support the presence of an inventive b) step for the subject-matter of claim 9, insofar as related to the use of the recO mutation. No such data are available for the other mutations. The subject-matter of claim 9, hence, lacks an inventive step.
- As to claims 22 and 23, an inventive step could only have been acknowledged if c) the Applicant had shown that the selected elements and plasmids exhibit an unexpected effect.
- As to claim 33, the genes to which it relates are commonly used as reporter genes d) (see e.g. D1).

2.3) As to claim 44:

- as explained in part V(1) above, the term biosensor is not limiting; hence, within the scope of the claim, which is to be construed as a purely product-related claim, since the expresion "for performing...." is not limiting (Guidelines, C-III, 4.8), fall any reagent kits comprising a suitably modified mycolic acid bacteria and any reagent for performing the method of claims 40-43. The reagent could for instance be the culture medium: D1 (see above) therefore renders obvious the subjectmatter of claim 44, insofar as the method therein disclosed comprises a suitable transformed Rhodococcus (see item 1.1 above) and the said reagent and in view of the fact that packaging known reagents which are used in a known or obvious method does not involve an inventive step.
- 2.4) Claim 45 lacks an inventive step (see also part VIII hereinbelow). It encompasses any (the expression "for performing" is not limiting, see Guidelines, C-III, 4.8) kit comprising a non-ionic detergent and any shuttle vector comprising e.g. a replicon for E. Coli and a sequence encoding an antibiotic marker gene: however, not all shuttle vectors belonging to this broad class would contribute to the solution of the technical problem underlying the present

invention. The scope of the claim should have thus been limited to those kit components actually helping solve the said problem.

- 3) Claims 5, 7, 37, 40-43 meet the requirements of Art. 33 PCT
- 3.1) The subject-matter of claims 5 and 7 is not disclosed or suggested by any of the available documents. No document discloses the use of a medium as that claimed in claim 5 or 7. Moreover, the advantages which the use of the said medium have (see p. 8, l. 4-26) further support the presence of an inventive step. The subject-matter of claims 5 and 7 appears thus to meet the requirements of Art. 33 PCT.
- 3.3) The subject-matter of claims 37 and 40-43 is also considered to involve an inventive step in view of the fact that the mycolic acid bacterium used in the claimed method is characterised by the lack of catabolic repression. Concerning the subject-matter of claims 40-43, the closest prior art is identified in D5 (WO-A-9215687) which teaches the production of microorganism-based biosensors (see p. 9, I. 8-p. 10, I. 10, claims). The microorganisms whose use is disclosed in D5 belong to the genus Alcaligenes, which is not mentioned as belonging to the group of mycolic bacteria.

Hence the technical problem underlying the present invention can be seen in the preparation of biosensors for the detection of compounds different from those detectable with the biosensors disclosed in D5.

Although the characteristics of mycolic acid bacteria such as Rhodococcus as well as the genes involved in the metabolic pathways were well known (see e.g. D1 or D2 or D3) the skilled person would not have had any indication as to the use of mycolic acid bacteria strains lacking catabolic repression. The advantages thereof (p. 9, I.33-p. 10, I. 31) further support the presence of an inventive step.

The method of transforming a cell to which claim 37 relates allows the production of a mycolic acid strain which lacks catabolic repression and which incorporates a

of a mycolic acid strain which lacks catabolic repression and which incorporates a heterologous gene.

3.4) An assessment as to inventiveness for the subject-matter of claims 11, 27 and 28 is not possible due to the lack of clarity of the said claims (see Part VIII(5) hereinbelow). The IPEA is however of the opinion that, if the said claims had

related clearly and unambiguously to the specific sequence of the R. Corallina ohp operon as given e.g. in fig. 4 an inventive step could have been possibly acknowledged. The said sequence is neither taught nor rendered obvious by any of the available documents. Moreover, even if the description does not disclose whether the R. corallina ohp operon lacks catabolic repression or not, the said

sequence would in any case allow the skilled person to effectively screen mycolic acid bacteria libraries (see p. 11, l. 2-7 of the description).

No examination as to novelty and inventive step can be carried out concerning the 4) subject-matter of claim 34 due to the absence of the technical features of pJP7, both in the claims and in the description (see Part VIII, point 3 hereinbelow).

PART VIII

- Claim 45 lacks clarity (Art. 6 PCT) since the vector to which it relates is only 1) defined by reference to method claims which however do not provide a sufficient characterisation of the said vector. To overcome this clarity objection, the features of the vector should have been introduced into the claim.
- Claim 39 lacks concisesness and clarity (Art. 6 PCT) because the reference to 2) claim 32-34 is redundant, since the method of claim 38, by referring to claim 35, encompassess the transformation of a host cell with the vectors of claims 32-34. The lack of clarity arises because claim 39 could be interpreted as indicating that transforming the cell with the vectors of claims 32-34 is a different process to that to which claim 35 relates.
- Claims 24 and 34 lack clarity (Art. 6 PCT) due to the use of terms (e.g. pJP7) 3) which are but internal designations devoid of any technical meaning. To overcome this objection, the claims should have been amended by introducing into their subject-matter the technical features of the plasmids and vector respectively.
- The Applicant stated that mac is but another designation of ohp. However, from 4) the passage on p. 12, l. 16-31 it would appear that they are indeed different.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/GB98/01893

Claims 11, 27 and 28 lack clarity (Art. 6 PCT) in view of the absence of any 5) reference to a specific sequence. The mere designation of a gene or an operon does not suffice to technically characterise the subject--matter of the claims

30, 1823 (1871) 1023 (19

wendtan eri o odiote.

BIOSENSOR MATERIALS AND METHODS

Technical Field

KC1. 100. El 17- He la se llega 15-

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

This invention relates to biosensor materials and methods, and in particular to methods for generating microorganisms having utility in biosensing, tools which can be generally used in such methods, the microorganisms themselves, and biosensing methods employing such microorganisms.

Background Art

It is frequently desirable to be able to detect small concentrations of analytes in samples, e.g. environmental samples. For instance, to allow more effective management of scarce environmental rescurces, more efficient and faster methods of assessing environmental pollution are required. At present, molecular-specific monitoring of effluent streams and other environmental matrices requires extensive chemical manipulation of the sample followed by Gas Chromatography (GC) and Mass Spectrometry (MS) analyses. Although these techniques are highly sensitive, sample preparation is necessarily slow and expensive. Consequently, continuous on-site analysis of a variety of environmental matrices cannot be achieved using these methods at reasonable cost.

A metal or xenobiotic sensor based on *E. coli* and genetic material from *Pseudomonas alkaligenes* has been proposed in WO 92/15687 (VITO). This employed previously characterised metal resistance genes.

An alternative method for the determination of phenols and chlorophenols has been proposed using a biosensor based around Rhodococcus sp. [see Riedel et al (1993) Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 38: 556-559]. In this method microorganisms are immobilised in an oxygen electrode, and oxygen uptake in response to added

-1b-

substrates was monitored. Although fairly simple and rapid, this method lacks robustness and is not sufficiently sensitive or specific for detecting particular environmental pollutants.

5

1 C

15

Rhodococcus spp. have also been the subject of investigation for their potential in biocatalysis and bioremediation e.g. for the removal of sulphur from coal. For example Omeri et al (1992) Applied and Environmental Microbiology: 911-915 investigated the intermediates and products formed in a particular pathway in the dibenzothiopene degradation process. Denome et al (1993) Applied and Environmental Microbiology 2837-2843, and Li et al (1996) J Bacteriol: 6409-6418 both studied the desulferization genes in Rhodococcus erythropolis (IGTS6), also using DET. The nitrolase gene from Rhodococcus rhodochrous has also been studied in EP 0 759 474 (Shimizu/NITTO).

- Mindows International Contraction

38. Usus = 200 10. 20 0

Figures

RCV. VOX. 1817A = MC 1876 CHE + 1927 1814. 1845. 1878

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Figure 1 - shows an agarose gel on which digestions of the novel plasmid pRC100 has been run, as described in Example 5.

Figure 2 - shows an agarose gel on which digestions of the novel plasmid pRCl58 has been run, as described in Example 5.

rigure 3 - shows a schematic view of the R. corallina ohp operon obtained by functional screening in E. coli, as described in Example 7. The schematic shows location of predicted genes: Regulator, Transport, Monooxygenase, Hydroxymuconic semialdehye hydrolase, Alcohol dehydrogenase. Initiator and terminator codons are shown as half height and full height lines respectively. Base coordinates refer to the Figure 4 sequence. The location of predicted promoter regions and direction are indicated by arrows. The molecular weights and coordinates of ohp genes are tabulated.

Figure 4 - shows the complete listing of the \underline{R} . corallina chp operon as described in Example 7. It includes a portion of a putative nitropropane promoter (5' of the regulator).

Figure 5 - shows a schematic diagram of the pJP8 vector of the present invention, as described in Example 8. Plasmid size is about 8.51 kb. pJP8 is a mycolic acid bacterium - \underline{E} . coli mobilizable cosmid vector. It carries pCY104 replicon; is Kanamycin resistant 15 μ g/ml mycolic acid bacteria, 50 μ g/ml \underline{E} . coli. It also carries lambda cos site, RP4 oriT site and a multiple cloning site.

Figure 6 - shows a schematic diagram of the pJP7 vector of the present invention, as described in Example 10. Plasmid size is about 10.66 kb. pJP7 is a mobilizable E. coli/Rhodococcus/Nocardia suicide/luciferase integration vector encoding luxAB signal genes, sacB gene

Claims

- 1. A method for identifying and/or isolating mycolic acid bacterial DNA encoding an inducible promoter which is induced in response to a specific analyte and/or associated operon proteins, the method comprising the steps of:
- (a) culturing a source of mycolic acid bacteria in a selective medium containing said specific analyte and being selective for oligotrophic bacteria,
- (b) identifying mycolic acid bacteria which are capable of subsisting on said medium and which lack catabolic repression,
- (c) extracting DNA from said mycolic acid bacteria,
- (d) incorporating said DNA into a vector,
- (e) cloning said vector into a suitable host cell, and
- (f) screening the host cell for said inducible promoter and/or proteins in order to identify vectors encoding it.
- 2. A method as claimed in claim 1 wherein the analyte is an environmental pollutant.
- 3. A method as claimed in claim 2 wherein the environmental pollutant is a hydrophobic organic compound.
- 4. A method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims wherein the mycolic acid bacterium is a member of the Rhodococcus or Nocardia complex.
- 5. A method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims wherein the medium used in step (a) comprises less than <500 uM carbon supplement.
- 6. A method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims wherein the catabolic repression screen is performed by assessing the concentration of an enzyme associated with the specific analyte of interest in (i) medium supplemented with the specific analyte, and (ii) medium supplemented with the specific analyte plus a high efficiency carbon source, and (iii) medium not containing the specific analyte but containing a high efficiency carbon source.
- 7. A method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims wherein the mycolic acid bacteria are grown on a medium comprising L-glycine prior to the DNA extraction at step (c).
- 8. A method as claimed in claim 7 wherein the mycclic acid bacteria are washed using 0.05-0.5% (v/v) non-ionic detergent prior to the DNA extraction at step (c).
- 9. A method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims wherein the host cell of step (e) is an \underline{E} coli strain carrying one or more of the \underline{mcrAbC} , \underline{mrr} , \underline{hsdsRM} $\underline{rec}A$ or $\underline{rec}O$ mutations.

10. A method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims wherein the host cell is screened for a sequence comprising an inducible promoter and/or operan proteins by using one or more cligenucleotide probes or primers corresponding to, or complementary to, a promoter and/or operon protein derived from a mycolic acid bacterium and selecting vectors which are complementary to, or specifically hybridisable with, said probe or primer.

minima to the state of the state of

- 11. A method as claimed in claim 10 wherein the cligonucleotide probe or primer comprises a sequence of at least 20, 30, 40, 50, or 100 nucleotides, said sequence corresponding to, or being complementary to, all or part of a contiguous sequence of the R. corallina ohp operon.
- 12. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 9 wherein the host cell is screened by:
- (i) incorporating a sequence believed to comprise an inducible promoter plus optionally further operon proteins in a vector at a position in which it is operatively linked to a coding sequence,
- (ii) transforming a host cell with said vector, and (iii) determining the presence or absence of the coding sequence expression product in the presence of the analyte.
- 13. As method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 9 wherein the host cell is screened for the inducible promoter and/or operon proteins by screening for an activity associated with the inducible promoter and/or operan proteins.
- 14. A method as claimed in claim 13 wherein the activity is an enzyme activity for which the analyte is a substrate.
- 15. A method as claimed in claim 14 wherein the enzyme activity is screened for by contacting the host cell or an extract thereof with a substrate for the enzyme and observing the cell or extract for enzymatically generated products of the substrate.
- 16. A method as claimed in any one of claims 13 to 15 wherein the vector in transferred from a first host cell of step (e) to a second host cell wherein the activity is screened.
- 17. A method as claimed in claim 16 wherein the second host is a mycolic acid bacterium.
- 18. A method as claimed in claim 17 wherein the second host is a Corynebacterium.
- 19. A method as claimed in any one of claims 16 to 18 wherein the vector in transferred from the first to the second host by bacterial conjugation.

- 20. A method as claimed in any one of claims 16 to 19 wherein the vector is snuttle vector capable of replication in the first and second hosts.
- 21. A method as claimed in claim 20 wherein the vector comprises two, three, four or five of the following elements: (i) a replicon for mycolic acid bacteria; (ii) a replicon for E. coli; (iii) a conjugative origin of transfer; (iv) a lambda cos site; (v) a sequence encoding an antibiotic marker gene.
- 22. A method as claimed in claim 21 wherein the elements are selected from a group comprising: pCY104oriV; pBR322 criV; RP4 criT; pSR1.
- 23. A method as claimed in claim 22 wherein the plasmid is selected from: pJ8; pRV1; pJH6 as described herein.
- 24. A method as claimed in any one of claims 21 to 23 wherein the vector comprises a lambda cos site, and sufficient DNA from the mycolic acid pacteria is incorporated into the vector to allow in vitro packaging of the vector.
- 25. A method of producing a modified inducible promoter and/or operon, the method comprising the step of modifying a nucleotide sequence encoding the inducible promoter and/or operon identified in accordance with the method of any of the preceding claims.
- 26. An isolated nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleotide sequence encoding an inducible promoter and/or operon protein identified in accordance with the method of any one of claims 1 to 24 or produced by the method of claim 25.
- 27. A nucleic acid as claimed in claim 26 comprising a promoter region of the nucleotide sequence encoding the <u>R. corallina ohp</u> operon described in Figure 3.
- 28. A nucleic acid as claimed in claim 26 encoding one or more of the following proteins of the R. corallina ohr operon: Regulator REG; Transport TRANS; Monocxygenase MONO; Hydroxymuconic semialdehye hydrolase HMSH; Alcohol dehydrogenase ADH; and Catechol 2, 3-dioxygenase CDO.
- 29. A nucleic acid molecule comprising a sequence encoding a modified inducible promoter obtainable by the method claim 25 which is at least 70%; 80%; 90%; 95% or 98% identical to the sequence of the inducible promoter of claim 26 or claim 27.
- 30. A nucleic acid as claimed in any one of claims 26 to 29 further comprising a heterologous signal gene.
- 31. A nucleic acid comprising (a) a sequence capable of effecting site specific integration of a heterologous signal gene into the genome of host cell such that it is operably

 $(F, E, E, E, E, E, E, E) = \mathbb{E}^{(1) \times (1-E) \times (1-E)}$

AND 23 - 11 15 25 25

- 32. A vector comprising the nucleic acid of claim 30 or claim 31.
- 33. A vector as claimed in claim 32 comprising one or more of the following: luxAE signal genes; sacB gene; antibiotic resistance; RP4/RK2 mobilizing elements.
- 34. A vector as claimed in claim 33 which is pJP7 as described herein.

KCV: VON - DEATH DE DESCRIPTION V-

- 35. A method of transforming a host cell comprising use of a vector as claimed in any one of claims 32 to 34.
- 36. A method as claimed in claim 35 wherein the host cell is transformed by site specific integration such that the signal gene is operably linked to an endogenous inducible promoter.
- 37. A method as claimed in claim 35 or claim 36 wherein the host cell is a mycolic acid bacterium of the same strain from which the inducible promoter and/or operon proteins were isolated.
- 38. A method of producing a biosensor comprising the method of any one of claims 35 to 37.
- 39. A biosensor host transformed with a vector as claimed in any one of claims 32 to 34 cr as produced by the method claim 33.
- 40. A method of detecting the presence or absence of an analyte in a sample comprising the steps of:
- (a) contacting the sample with a transformed microorganism which is a mycolic acid bacterium which lacks catabolic repression and which expresses a binding agent capable of binding the analyte, wherein the binding of the agent to the analyte causes a detectable signal, and wherein said bacterium has been transformed such as to improve the detectability of the signal; and
- (b) observing said bacterium for said detectable signal.
- 41. A method as claimed in claim 40 wherein the transformed microorganism is the biosensor of claim 39.
- 42. A method as claimed in claim 40 or claim 41 wherein the signal is detected by an increased expression of a heterologous signal protein from a signal gene.
- 43. A method as claimed in any one of claims 40 to 42 wherein the signal is detected photometrically.
- 44. A kit for performing the method of any one of claims 40

RCV. VOX (EPA-MCIAC HEL) 022 (24) Aug. 1599 3 (v1 PERMIT

45. A kit for performing the method of any one of claims 1 to 24 comprising two or more of the following (a) the selective buffer of claim 5; (b) a non-ionic detergent; (c) the primers or probes of claim 12; (c) the vector of any one of claims 21 to 24.18 August 1999